

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/511,184	05/27/2005	Tomoyuki Yagi	529.44217X00	1948	
	7590 05/14/200 TERRY, STOUT & K	EXAM	EXAMINER		
1300 NORTH SEVENTEENTH STREET			CHAO, E	CHAO, ELMER M	
SUITE 1800 ARLINGTON.	VA 22209-3873	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			3737		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/14/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

# Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/511,184	YAGI ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
ELMER CHAO	3737	

## LIMER CHAO ## LANGE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address = Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 2 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a retyle be timely filled in the provision of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a retyle be timely filled or 18 NO principle for reply a specified drove, the assurance abundance, the same abundance and the meaning date of the communication. Failure to reply with the set or extended predict for reply with by stablatic, cause the application to become ARANCONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply removaled by the Mich law then the internation abundance and the meaning date of the communication, even if terruly filled. The provision of the communication is constituted and the time along date of the communication. Failure terruly and the meaning date of the communication, even if terruly filled. The provision of the communication is constituted and the time along date of the communication. Failure terruly and terruly and terruly and terruly and the communication of the communication and terruly and the communication. Fill States are provided to the communication of the communication and terruly and t		Examiner	AILOIIL					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In or event, however, may a reply be timely filed  - If No provide for treply is specified above, the maximum statelory provide unapply and with open SK (6) MONTIS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Palairs to reply which the set or advantidate parties and reply will be yatables, cause the application to become ABANDONED (SU DS.C. § 133).  Any reply accepted by the Office and advanced parties of the reply will be yatables, cause the application, even if timely filed, may reduce any caused plant term adjustment. Sets 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status  1)  Responsive to communication(s) filled on 04 January 2008.  2a)  This action is FINAL.  2b)  This action is non-final.  3)  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)  Claim(s)  1-10 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s)  is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s)  1-10 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s)  is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s)  are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)  The grawing(s) filed on 15 October 2004 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  10)  The drawing(s) filed on 15 October 2004 is/are: a) accepted or b objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  9)  The drawing(s) filed on 15 October 2004 is/are: a) accepted or b objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  9)  The drawing(s) filed on 15 October 2004 is/are: a) accepted or b objected to by the Examiner.  10)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b   Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  1		ELMER CHAO	3737					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 2 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Entensions of time may be available under the processor of 37 CFR 1.13(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely find  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period wat apply and wat expres SIX (6) MONTHS from the maining date of this communication.  - Palarie to reply within the sole or catendard period for reply with by statute, cause the application to become APAN-CNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than them months after the maining date of this communication, own if timely filled, may reduce any seared palarie them with planners.  - Status  1) Responsive to communication(s) filled on Office of this communication, own if timely filled, may reduce any seared palarie them with planners.  - Status  1) Responsive to communication is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application.  - 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  - 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  - 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  - 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  - 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  - 7) Claim(s) is/are sobjected to.  - 8) Claim(s) is/are sobjected to and/or election requirement.  - Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on 15 October 2004 is/are: a) Caccepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  - Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  - Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The coath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  - Priority under 35								
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Estimation of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after Six (8) NOCHTIS from the making date of this communication.  - If to period or reply is specified above, the maximum stantery period will apply and will expire Six (8) MOCHTIS from the making date of this communication.  - Ally reply received by the Office later than three moeths after the making date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any search term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status  1	Period for Reply							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 94 January 2008.  2a) This action is FINAL.  2b) This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) is/are ellowed.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on 15 October 2004 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)·(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * O  None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. Extensions of time may be equilable under the provisions of 37 CPR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the nailing date of the communication. If NO period for repty is apscelled above, the maximum statutop period v Failure to repty within the set or extended period for repty will, by statute Any repty received by the Office later than three months after the mailing.	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.  2b) ☐ This action is non-final.  3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8] ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 15 October 2004 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * ○ ☐ None of:  1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☒ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	Status							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) <i>j-10</i> is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) is/are objected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on 15 October 2004 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a), Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The cath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some *c) None of:  1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 Ja	anuary 2008.						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)	2a)⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b)□ This	action is non-final.						
Disposition of Claims  4) □ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 15 October 2004 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a), Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☑ All b) □ Some * ○□ None of:  1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☑ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	<del>-</del>						
4)	- "							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected to.  8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 15 October 2004 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☒ All b) □ Some * ○□ None of:  1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☒ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	Disposition of Claims							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected to.  8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 15 October 2004 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☒ All b) □ Some * ○□ None of:  1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☒ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application.							
6)  Claim(s)	— · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
T)	, , , , , , <u> </u>							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on 15 October 2004 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a), Replacement drawings sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some Office Action or form PTO-152.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)								
Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on 15 October 2004 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-882)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-882)  1) Notice of Preferences Cited (PTO-882)  2) Notice of Preferences Cited (PTO-882)  2) Notice of Dreferences Cited (PTO-882)  2) Notice of Dreferences Cited (PTO-882)  2) Notice of Preferences Cited (PTO-882)  2) Notice of Preferences Cited (PTO-882)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on 15 October 2004 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * O. None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  Attachment(s)  All plant of the proper Notice of References Cited (PTO-882)    Olicie of References Cited (PTO-892)   Olicie of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on 15 October 2004 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * O. None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  Attachment(s)  All plant of the proper Notice of References Cited (PTO-882)    Olicie of References Cited (PTO-892)   Olicie of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Annication Papers							
10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 15 October 2004 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☑ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  Attachment(s)  Attachment(s)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	· · ·							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a), Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some collaboration of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Paper Nots/Mail Date.								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * O  None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  Attachment(s)    Interview Summary (PTO-4-13) Paper Note) Main Paper Note) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Paper Note)				==				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some * o) ☐ None of:  1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☑ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)								
12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☒ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	11) I he oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
a) All b) Some colling to some	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.   Attachment(s)    Attachment(s)								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.   Attachment(s)  Attachment(s)  Attachment(s)  Attachment(s)  Attachment(s)  Attachment(s)  Attachment(s)  Paper Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Paper Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Paper Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  Apper Mo(s)Mail Date.  Paper Mo(s)Mail Date.								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  Atta	<ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the prior</li> </ol>	rity documents have been receive	ed in this National	Stage				
Attachment(s)  Attachment(s)  1)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Paper Nots/Mail Date.  Paper Nots/Mail Date.	application from the International Bureau	ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	d.					
1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date								
1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date								
1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Attachment(s)							
	1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)					
	2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5). Notice of Informal Pater LApplication						

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/408 & 2/14/08.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)

6) Other: \_\_\_\_.

Application/Control Number: 10/511,184 Page 2

Art Unit: 3737

#### DETAILED ACTION

1. Acknowledgement is made of the amendment filed 1/4/2008.

## Response to Arguments

 Applicant's arguments filed 1/4/2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Regarding Applicants' arguments with respect to the Nix et al. reference,
Applicants contend that "Nix et al. only discloses single flexible circuit board without
slits". Examiner directs Applicants' attention to Nix et al., Fig. 6a, label "Flat". The
figure shows that the ribbon cable itself has several signal lines running through them.
The existence of these discrete signal lines implicates a gap, space, or opening
between any two signal lines. This gap, space, or opening can be fairly considered a
slit. Furthermore, ribbon cables on the external side have distinct signal ripples on the
external side (consider an internal hard drive ribbon cable) that can also be considered
slits. A slit merely has to be a straight narrow cut or opening. In this case, the ripples
can each be considered individual openings as opposed to a non-rippled, smooth
surface. Alternatively, the slits can be considered the inherent gaps between one signal
line and another.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action: Application/Control Number: 10/511,184
Art Unit: 3737

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- Claim 1-8, and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nix et al. (U.S. 7,037,269 B2).

Regarding claims 1-5, Nix et al. teach an ultrasound probe comprising: a plurality of transducers for transmitting and receiving an ultrasound respectively located in correspondence with adjacent positions of transmission and reception (Fig. 2, Item 3); and a flexible circuit board of at least one layer located in correspondence with said positions of transmission and reception (Fig. 2, Item 12), in which signal lines for supplying a transmission signal and for extracting a reception signal to/ from said positions are installed in a longitudinal direction of the transducers at a predetermined angle (Fig. 2 shows an angle), wherein the flexible circuit board has slits (the flexible circuit board must have areas of non-conduction in order to separate the different signal lines) dividing signal lines into sections at each of said positions at a predetermined angle, and each section of the flexible circuit board divided by the slits is spirally wound (col. 4, lines 32-34; Fig. 6a), wherein the flexible circuit board is insulated by a first shield or protection material (col. 4, lines 38-45).

Regarding **claims 6 and 8**, the protection material or second shield is in the form of a flexible tube (Fig. 2, the actual portion of the catheter near Item 15 is a flexible tube).

Regarding claim 7, the protection material consists of a stiff section (Fig. 2, Item 2) and a flexible section (col. 4, lines 38-45; Fig. 2, Item 12).

Application/Control Number: 10/511,184
Art Unit: 3737

Regarding **claim 10**, the probe would be capable of being inserted inside a body cavity.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nix et al. in view of Holdaway et al. (U.S. 2001/0047134 A1). Nix et al. teach the limitations as discussed above but fail to explicitly teach separate layers for ground and signal. However, in the same field of endeavor, Holdaway et al. teach separate layers for ground and signal (Para [0059]). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Nix et al. to include separate layers for ground and signal as it would shield the signal from external electric and magnetic fields (for motivation see Para [0059], last sentence).

## Conclusion

 THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

Application/Control Number: 10/511,184

Art Unit: 3737

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

 Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elmer Chao whose telephone number is (571)272-0674.
 The examiner can normally be reached on 9am-4pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Casler can be reached on (571)272-4956. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Brian L Casler/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3737 Application/Control Number: 10/511,184

Page 6

Art Unit: 3737

/E. C./ Examiner, Art Unit 3737 5/11/2008